

Jane Smith

Mrs. Andrusiak

College English, 1st Hour

11 March 2017

Annotated Bibliography: Question

Bain, Marc. "1,200 People Are Killed Each Year over Sneakers." *Quartz*, Quartz, 20 Nov. 2015, [qz.com/554784/1200-people-are-killed-each-year-over-sneakers/](http://qz.com/554784/1200-people-are-killed-each-year-over-sneakers/). Accessed 3 Mar. 2017.

This article is about the violent shoe culture in America. It is estimated that between 1000-1200 people are killed over shoes yearly. The author claims that Nike fuels this violence by making the shoes extremely valuable. He brings up that Nike may have tried to fix the problem by changing release times, but this problem is still exists.

This source comes from *Quartz*. The sources of statistics of the article are cited and linked to so it is a credible source. The source is not objective. The author simply wishes to educate of the violence based on shoes.

This source caused me to think Nike is less ethical than I did, but it is a helpful source which I will use as a counter argument to my thesis of Nike not being unethical.

Brettman, Allan. "Phil and Penny Knight, Thanks to Nike Fortune, Have given More than \$1 Billion in Philanthropy." *Oregon Live*, Advance Digital, 12 Aug. 2014, [www.oregonlive.com/playbooks-profits/index.ssf/2014/08/phil\\_and\\_penny\\_knight\\_thanks\\_t.html](http://www.oregonlive.com/playbooks-profits/index.ssf/2014/08/phil_and_penny_knight_thanks_t.html). Accessed 3 Mar. 2017.

The article summarizes the charitable actions of Phil Knight. It talks about how much money Knight has given to The University of Oregon's Education and Athletic programs. It also says he donated to a cancer research hospital in Oregon. Then it states Knight donated a 25 million dollar cardiovascular center to Providence St. Vincent Medical center.

This source is from a local Oregon news website, which is a good thing because most of the information in the article is local, however it may cause some bias. The goal of the article is to provide the reader with knowledge of the charitable actions of Phil Knight.

This source is helpful for the essay because it provides a lot of statistics and numbers to cite and gives a argument to my thesis that Nike is not unethical. The article cause me to think that Phil Knight is a good man.

Finley, Peter; Finley, Laura; and Fountain, Jeffrey. "Michael Vick Charged for Dog Fighting."

Issues: Understanding Controversy and Society, ABC-CLIO, 2017,

issues.abc-clio.com/Search/Display/1823049. Accessed 1 Mar. 2017.

The article tells the story of NFL quarterback being arrested for dogfighting, and his fall from fame afterward. The author brings up how Michael Vick did in fact commit heinous crimes, how federal banks filed lawsuits against him sense he could pay loans in prison, and how Nike and many others severed ties with him.

The goal of this source it tell the story of Michael Vick and his arrest for dog fighting. It is presented from a neutral perspective and is not biased in

anyway. This source is credible because it comes from the credible database ABC-CLIO.

This source will be very helpful in writing my essay. This will be used to argue that Nike is not a morally corrupt company because they do not employ criminals. This article confirmed my belief that Nike is an Ethical company.

Lance Armstrong and Doping Charges." Issues: Understanding Controversy and Society,

ABC-CLIO, 2017, [issues.abc-clio.com/Search/Display/958929](https://www.abc-clio.com/Search/Display/958929). Accessed 21 Mar. 2017.

Lormand, Eric. "FACTs and FAQs about Nike's Labor Abuses." *FACTs and FAQs about Nike's Labor Abuses*, University Of Michigan,

<http://www-personal.umich.edu/~lormand/poli/nike/nikelabor.htm>. Accessed 3 Mar.

2017.

This article answers various questions asked about Nike's labor abuses. The author uses facts backed up statistics to answer each question. He states that 90% of workers are women or young girls, 75% of workers quit, and that Nike supports Military dictatorship to defeat labor unions.

The goal of this article is to answer question that many people wonder about Nike's labor abuses. This comes from the University of Michigan's official website so its credibility and the article merely states facts so it is not opinionated.

This source will be very helpful the writing of my essay. I can use the stats presented in the article to argue that Nike is not Ethical. This article made me think that Nike is less Ethical than I thought at the beginning.

Patel, Aaisha Dadi. "The Issues With The Nike Ad That We Aren't Talking About." The Daily Vox, The Daily Vox, 21 Feb. 2017, [www.thedailyvox.co.za/the-issues-with-the-nike-ad-that-we-arent-talking-about-aaisha-dadi-patel/](http://www.thedailyvox.co.za/the-issues-with-the-nike-ad-that-we-arent-talking-about-aaisha-dadi-patel/). Accessed 1 Mar. 2017.

The author brings attention a Nike ad showing female muslim athletes. She brings up how the ad is powerful, but it cannot be taken seriously when Nike has unethical treatment of workers in sweatshops. She mentions how the ad excludes black muslims.

The article's goal is to both celebrate the Nike Ad for portraying female muslim athletes, and also shame Nike for not it's unethical work conditions and portrayal of race. The article may be a tad biased, but the author is very educated on the subject, cites her sources, and presents both sides so it is credible.

This article will help my essay by providing information on both sides of my, "is Nike ethical or not," question. I will use the article to provide information on sweatshops and it made me think about work cruelty and how wrong it is. This article made me think Nike was less ethical

Rubush, Scott. "Sweatshops Do Not Violate Human Rights." Human Rights, edited by Laura K. Egendorf, Greenhaven Press, 2004. *Opposing Viewpoints*. *Opposing Viewpoints in*

Context,

[link.galegroup.com/apps/doc/EJ3010144247/OVIC?u=lom\\_accessmich&xid=8f6f6496](http://link.galegroup.com/apps/doc/EJ3010144247/OVIC?u=lom_accessmich&xid=8f6f6496).

Accessed 2 Mar. 2017. Originally published as "Sweating It," *Heterodoxy*, vol. 8, 2000, pp. 1-11.

The author of this article writes on protests over sweatshops at the University of North Carolina. He goes on to say how the protesters fighting against the school's deal with Nike are wrong. The author brings up many points such as that labor in third world countries benefits them, the average vietnamese nike employee's salary doubles the country average, and how beneficial the "sweatshops" are for the country's economy.

The goal of the article is to counter the claim that Nike Sweatshops are bad. This source comes from a reliable database, *Opposing viewpoints in context*.

The source is a little biased against the protesters however is still reliable.

This source will be helpful in writing my essay. This essay makes me think that maybe Nike's labor shops are not as bad as I thought and I will use this source to counter the anti-sweatshop argument.